

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
<u>. </u>			EXAMINER	
	A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				18
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/125,122	TARRO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bridget E. Bunner	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper rep n places the applic	oly to a eation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejec HE FINAL REJECTION	tion. I. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The ap originally set in the fina	propriate extension al Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 May 2001</u>. Appeal 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) 	ellant's Brief must be filed within R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	the period set fort f the appeal.	h in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected clair	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☒ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	مامط اممامك ومستراعة المستحدثين حاط الداران	w.aranandad	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	8	lyabended.	Hemmen
Claim(s) allowed:		V	
Claim(s) objected to:		en e	STEEL A
Claim(s) rejected: 7,9,11,13,15,17,19 and 20.		Carlos Albertan	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10.☐ Other:			





Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The objections to the specification and claims 7-8 are withdrawn in view of the newly submitted specification and cancelled claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 7,9,11,13, 15,17,19-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a). Applicants argue that claims 7,9,11,13,15, and 17 are method of treatment claims, and therefore, the intended use alpha-interferon for treating viral hepatitis is critical to the claims and patentably distinct (pg 5, Paper No. 17, 18 May 2001). Applicants assert that Cummins (U.S. patent 5,824,300 and WO 88/03411) does not teach the usefulness of oral liquid alpha-interferon in treating viral hepatitis and that Cummins does not teach the liquid alpha-interferon formulation of claim 19 having a concentration of 100-500 IU/ml (pg 6). Further, Applicants state that a critical feature of the claimed invention is that it uses alpha-interferon in liquid form (pg 8). Applicants also argue that Ratajczak et al. employs lozenges of alpha-interferon and fails to appreciate the importance of administering alpha-interferon in liquid rather than solid form. Applicants also submit a 132 Declaration to further the support the benefits of a liquid formulation of alpha-interferon rather than a tablet form.

Applicants arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive for the following reasons. Cummins teaches a liquid formulation containing 1-1500 IU of alpha-interferon in a dosage volume of one tablespoon or 0.07-100 IU/ml (U.S. patent 5,824,300, col. 14) This dosage of alpha-interferon overlaps with the concentration range claimed by the Applicants. Cummins also teaches treatment of neoplastic disease, hyperallergenicity, immuno-resistant or immuno-debilitating viral infections and autoimmune disorders characterized by chronic tissue degenerative inflammation with a liquid formulation of alpha-interferon (col. 7-13). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to administer alpha-interferon to a subject with viral hepatitis because Cummins teaches it would be desirable to do so. Further, Ratajczak et al. teaches the administration of lozenges containing 50 or 100 IU of human lymphoblastoid alpha-interferon for oral delivery in the treatment of hepatitis B infections (pg 239, col 1). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare an aqueous formulation of alpha-interferon according to Cummins, employing lymphoblastoid interferon as described by Ratajczak et al. in place of the buffy coat leukocyte interferon noted particularly by Cummins, because Ratajczak et al. evidences that lymphoblastoid interferon was readily available at the time of the invention and teaches that it is suitable for the treatment of an exemplary viral disease via delivery to the oropharyngeal mucosae. Furthermore, the declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 18 May 2001 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 7,9,11,13,15,17, and 19-20. The declaration does not show that the objective evidence of nonobviousness is commensurate in scope with the claims.





Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bridget E. Bunner whose telephone number is (703) 305-7148. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Bridget E. Bunner Art Unit 1647 12 June 2001